

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

A Fresh Approach to Community Lawyering

SPEAKERS: Amy Petkovsek, Melanie Townsend Diggs

Session/Speaker Evaluation

The session content was consistent with description in the agenda

| Choices | Count | Percent |
|---------------------|-------|---------|
| 1 Strongly Disagree | 1 | 4% |
| 2 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 3 | 0 | 0% |
| 4 4 | 5 | 21% |
| 5 Strongly Agree | 18 | 75% |
| Mean | 4.63 | |

The session information will help me be more effective in my position.

| | Choices | Count | Percent |
|---|-------------------|-------|---------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree | 1 | 4% |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 3 | 2 | 8% |
| 4 | 4 | 6 | 25% |
| 5 | Strongly Agree | 15 | 63% |
| | Mean | 4.42 | |

I can use the information I learned right away.

| | Choices | Count | Percent |
|---|-------------------|-------|---------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree | 2 | 8% |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 12% |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 16% |
| 5 | Strongly Agree | 16 | 64% |
| | Mean | 4.28 | |

Overall, the speakers for this session were knowledgeable.

| Choices | Count | Percent |
|---------------------|-------|---------|
| 1 Strongly Disagree | 1 | 4% |
| 2 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 3 | 0 | 0% |
| 4 4 | 3 | 12% |
| 5 Strongly Agree | 21 | 84% |
| Mean | 4.72 | |



Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Overall, the speakers for this session were engaging.

| 7 1 | | |
|---------------------|-------|---------|
| Choices | Count | Percent |
| 1 Strongly Disagree | 1 | 4% |
| 2 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 3 | 0 | 0% |
| 4 4 | 5 | 20% |
| 5 Strongly Agree | 19 | 76% |
| Mean | 4.64 | |

The session met or exceeded my expectation.

| Choices | | Percent |
|---------------------|------|---------|
| 1 Strongly Disagree | 1 | 4% |
| 2 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 3 | 2 | 8% |
| 4 4 | 5 | 20% |
| 5 Strongly Agree | 17 | 68% |
| Mean | 4.48 | |

The topics covered were relevant, interesting and timely.

| | Choices | Count | Percent |
|---|-------------------|-------|---------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree | 1 | 4% |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 4 | 7 | 28% |
| 5 | Strongly Agree | 17 | 68% |
| | Mean | 4.56 | |

The session was interactive with significant audience participation.

| | Choices | Count | Percent |
|---|-------------------|-------|---------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree | 1 | 4% |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 3 | 7 | 28% |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 20% |
| 5 | Strongly Agree | 12 | 48% |
| | Mean | 4.08 | |

The handouts and materials were useful.

| | Choices | Count | Percent |
|---|-------------------|-------|---------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree | 2 | 9% |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 5% |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 14% |
| 4 | 4 | 3 | 14% |
| 5 | Strongly Agree | 13 | 59% |
| | Mean | 4.09 | |



Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Audio-visual aids were used effectively.

| Choices | | Percent |
|---------------------|------|---------|
| 1 Strongly Disagree | 1 | 4% |
| 2 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 3 | 1 | 4% |
| 4 4 | 5 | 20% |
| 5 Strongly Agree | 18 | 72% |
| Mean | 4.56 | |

Approximately how many participants attended this session?

| Choices | Count | Percent |
|--------------|-------|---------|
| 1 0 to 10 | 0 | 0% |
| 2 11 to 25 | 4 | 16% |
| 3 26 to 40 | 11 | 44% |
| 4 41 to 60 | 8 | 32% |
| 5 61 to 75 | 2 | 8% |
| 6 76 or more | 0 | 0% |
| Mean | 2.92 | |

Would you recommend this session for next year's conference agenda?

| Choices | Count | Percent |
|---------|-------|---------|
| 1 Yes | 22 | 100% |
| 2 No | 0 | 0% |
| Mean | 1.00 | |

Would you recommend this particular faculty for next year's conference?

| | Choices | Count | Percent |
|------|---------|-------|---------|
| 1 | Yes | 20 | 100% |
| 2 | No | 0 | 0% |
| Mean | | 1.00 | |

Why or why not?

- Knowledgeable, engaging & enthusiastic faculty.
- Their passion and excitement was palpable.
- Very engaging & inspiring.
- Yes. Informative and useful to a community partnership and program & community organization.
- Presenters used impressive and knowledgeable on the field of work, outreach, growth in the Maryland legal aid partnership with IT and PayPal.
- The presentation was interesting and interactive providing new & innovative ideas to expand & provide services to those who may not otherwise have access.
- For our youth and those that are re-entering.
- We need to focus on helping our youth.
- The presenter from the Toledo program provided a great example of community lawyering.



Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

What in particular about this session would you like us to know about and why?

- Good example of being active in delivering legal services & addressing a legal need.
- Amazingly effective example.
- Nice idea of having skyped in testimonial from clients.
- Need more handouts.
- I am so excited to use this model to expand our own expungement work.
- Amy brought sunshine to my day.
- Great concrete ideas to replicate model.
- Introduction of new information that programs can used in their communities.
- Very good presentation with practical information.
- Amazing work with PayPal. Definitely continues to do community outreach initiatives.
- The ideas presented were fresh & innovative that can be utilized with success in any jurisdiction.
- Everything.
- Essential info for effective community advocacy strategies.
- True results stories.
- Would have liked to hear some strategies of how to determine issues that can be addressed though community lawyering.

What are your overall impressions about the faculty at this particular session?

- Effective in relaying the experience/program.
- Excellent and engaging.
- Knew their stuff.
- Very knowledgeable.
- Both women were wonderful!
- Awesome.
- Amazing! Loved lawyer/library/client representation on session to get all perspectives.
- Partnership with the library is a great idea all legal aid community should implement this program in their area.
- Knowledgeable & organized.
- All the hard work for Maryland legal aid has done.
- Very knowledgeable, dedicated and passionate about the work they performed.
- They were all wonderful and full of knowledge.
- Effective & knowledgeable.
- Dedicated.
- Prepared. Knowledgeable.