

Client Caucus
Clients' Role in Leading the Fight for Racial Justice

SPEAKERS: Edwin Burnette, Chuck Wynder

Session/Speaker Evaluation

The session content was consistent with description in the agenda

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	1	2%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	5	12%
4	4	9	21%
5	Strongly Agree	27	64%
Mean		4.45	

The session information will help me be more effective in my position.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	1	3%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	3	8%
4	4	11	28%
5	Strongly Agree	25	63%
Mean		4.48	

I can use the information I learned right away.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	1	3%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	3	8%
4	4	12	31%
5	Strongly Agree	23	59%
Mean		4.44	

Overall, the speakers for this session were knowledgeable.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	1	2%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	8	19%
5	Strongly Agree	33	79%
Mean		4.71	

Overall, the speakers for this session were engaging.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	1	3%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	3%
4	4	5	13%
5	Strongly Agree	33	83%
Mean		4.73	

The session met or exceeded my expectation.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	1	2%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	2	5%
4	4	11	26%
5	Strongly Agree	28	67%
Mean		4.55	

The topics covered were relevant, interesting and timely.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	1	3%
2	2	1	3%
3	3	2	5%
4	4	6	15%
5	Strongly Agree	29	74%
Mean		4.56	

The session was interactive with significant audience participation.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	1	2%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	2	5%
4	4	6	15%
5	Strongly Agree	32	78%
Mean		4.66	

The handouts and materials were useful.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	1	3%
3	3	4	10%
4	4	11	28%
5	Strongly Agree	24	60%
Mean		4.45	

Audio-visual aids were used effectively.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	1	4%
2	2	1	4%
3	3	6	23%
4	4	7	27%
5	Strongly Agree	11	42%
Mean		4.00	

Approximately how many participants attended this session?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	0 to 10	0	0%
2	11 to 25	0	0%
3	26 to 40	8	21%
4	41 to 60	11	28%
5	61 to 75	13	33%
6	76 or more	7	18%
Mean		2.64	

Would you recommend this session for next year's conference agenda?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Yes	35	97%
2	No	1	3%
Mean		1.03	

Would you recommend this particular faculty for next year's conference?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Yes	38	100%
2	No	0	0%
Mean		1.00	

Why or why not?

- But it needs a little order. It would be better if clients didn't interject opinions while one client has the floor.
- Why because it was a session of education and leadership.
- Engaging, real life topics to engage discussion.
- Because it would benefit all.
- Loved Ed & Darrell.
- The interacting with the group.
- Engaging and knowledgeable.
- I enjoyed their prospective views and their passion for their subjects.
- Temperature - air condition came on in middle of section cold outside & inside.
- Excellent presentation.



Why or why not? (continue)

- Definitely.
- They know how to present information.
- This is a topic that must be discussed.
- This facility presented and explained well the information given.

What in particular about this session would you like us to know about and why?

- Clients from entire nation share experiences & ideas.
- Racial bias and leadership to fight for racial justice.
- Very engaging, good input from audience to bring awareness to other issues and consideration to bring useful information.
- Everyone was given a chance to talk.
- Have more time to give out information.
- Very engaging. Ed & Darrel did a great job, it would be interesting to administer a tool like the Harvard test to everyone.
- It was completely relatable to communicate with speakers I am grateful to learn about Harvard's Implicit Ass.
- We are listening & empowering each other.
- It speaks to those of us who are clients who can use our person experience to better ourselves and others.
- Beginning in different bias.
- Specializing in support in giving clients that are members being nominated and supported in community.
- Unclear to first time attendee who should attend the client caucus and what its purpose it.
- Active presentation of the client audience.
- This was very valuable Darrell took us to church!
- Learning the correct definition of culture.
- Interactive.
- So involved the entire room was so engaged we loos the sense of time, never happen before in our session (client).
- Developing specialized support.
- Clients must be empowered to be equal partners in addressing racial injustice in their communities.
- Period stories and interaction.
- The fair pledge. Fighting against implicit bias and racial inequality. Because an experienced lawyer facilitated (former inmate) due to drug charges.
- Speakers were very engaging and encouraged participation.
- Having someone speak to us who has been in a situation.
- Interaction of groups.
- Very good, presenter, very animated and on point.
- Everything.



What are your overall impressions about the faculty at this particular session?

- Plenty of interaction of clients - between clients.
- Strategies how to deal with racial bias & leadership.
- Good, organized.
- The speakers listen very well.
- Excellent. (2)
- Ok.
- Terrific, specific.
- They were well versed.
- Very good. (3)
- Well organized.
- Great at engaging the audience. Should have managed time better. Also would have liked more creative strategies than just training.
- Engaging & professional.
- Great!
- Great job!
- Excellent knowledge; great presenters!
- Very knowledgeable and passionate about their subjects presented.
- Good. Got across the points, not all could understand.
- Very good and inspiring.
- Very good, impressive we were challenged to present out values per handout - bullet point. So interested the entire room was so engaged. We loos the sense of time, never happen before.
- Great knowledge given.
- They were well equipped. Trained and great at speaking.
- They were great! They clarified all that was taught and encouraged interaction with attendees.
- Same as above.
- Good.
- Yes, knowledgeable.
- Excellent, very understanding.
- I really enjoyed the knowledge of the faculty.