Diversity and Inclusion Committee: Structuring the Discussion and Cultivating the Culture of Open Dialogue

SPEAKERS: Brenda Marrero, Keir Bradford-Grey

Session/Speaker Evaluation

The session content was consistent with description in the agenda

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	1	25%
5	Strongly Agree	3	75%
	Mean	**4.75**	

The session information will help me be more effective in my position.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	25%
4	4	1	25%
5	Strongly Agree	2	50%
	Mean	**4.25**	

I can use the information I learned right away.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	25%
4	4	1	25%
5	Strongly Agree	2	50%
	Mean	**4.25**	

Overall, the speakers for this session were knowledgeable.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	4	100%
	Mean	**5.00**	

National
Legal Aid &
Defender
Association

Overall, the speakers for this session were engaging.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	4	100%
	Mean	**5.00**	

The session met or exceeded my expectation.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	25%
4	4	1	25%
5	Strongly Agree	2	50%
	Mean	**4.25**	

The topics covered were relevant, interesting and timely.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	4	100%
	Mean	**5.00**	

The session was interactive with significant audience participation.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	4	100%
	Mean	**5.00**	

The handouts and materials were useful.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	100%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	0	0%
	Mean	**3.00**	

2016 Annual Conference
Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016
SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

National
Legal Aid &
Defender
Association

Audio-visual aids were used effectively.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	2	67%
5	Strongly Agree	1	33%
	Mean	**4.33**	

Approximately how many participants attended this session?

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	0 to 10	0	0%
2	11 to 25	2	50%
3	26 to 40	2	50%
4	41 to 60	0	0%
5	61 to 75	0	0%
6	76 or more	0	0%
	Mean	**2.50**	

Would you recommend this session for next year's conference agenda?

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Yes	4	100%
2	No	0	0%
	Mean	**1.00**	

Would you recommend this particular faculty for next year's conference?

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Yes	3	75%
2	No	1	25%
	Mean	**1.25**	

Why or why not?

- See above - getting a progress report every year on their effectiveness of their diversity innates would be interesting.
- Probably not ideal to use word "referred" in session about increasing inclusion.

2016 Annual Conference
Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016
SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

National
Legal Aid &
Defender
Association

What in particular about this session would you like us to know about and why?
- Please don't use word "retarded", it's very offensive to people of intellectual and developmental disabilities.
- The speakers were both experienced and knowledgeable. Their descriptions their individual experiences allow the audience to better relate and take useful strategies always from the session.
- Super useful and timely for work we're doing in my organization.
- Good idea; wanted to hear more practical tips re: engaging staff bottom - up. Seemed top-down; mgmt./board focused.

What are your overall impressions about the faculty at this particular session?
- Very competent & knowledgeable.
- Keir - read too much from slides & got too distracted by audience comments/questions. Brenda - better.