

Fair Housing in the Context of Affordable Housing: Land Use and Policing

SPEAKERS: R. Tamar Hagler and Neal Dudovitz

Session/Speaker Evaluation

The session content was consistent with description in the agenda

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	1	20%
5	Strongly Agree	4	80%
Mean		4.80	

The session information will help me be more effective in my position.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	2	40%
5	Strongly Agree	3	60%
Mean		4.60	

I can use the information I learned right away.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	2	40%
5	Strongly Agree	3	60%
Mean		4.60	

Overall, the speakers for this session were knowledgeable.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	1	20%
5	Strongly Agree	4	80%
Mean		4.80	



2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016

SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Overall, the speakers for this session were engaging.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	20%
4	4	1	20%
5	Strongly Agree	3	60%
Mean		4.40	

The session met or exceeded my expectation.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	20%
4	4	1	20%
5	Strongly Agree	3	60%
Mean		4.40	

The topics covered were relevant, interesting and timely.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	2	40%
5	Strongly Agree	3	60%
Mean		4.60	

The session was interactive with significant audience participation.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	20%
4	4	1	20%
5	Strongly Agree	3	60%
Mean		4.40	

The handouts and materials were useful.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	1	20%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	1	20%
5	Strongly Agree	3	60%
Mean		4.20	

Audio-visual aids were used effectively.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	5	100%
Mean		5.00	

Approximately how many participants attended this session?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	0 to 10	0	0%
2	11 to 25	3	60%
3	26 to 40	2	40%
4	41 to 60	0	0%
5	61 to 75	0	0%
6	76 or more	0	0%
Mean		2.40	

Would you recommend this session for next year's conference agenda?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Yes	5	100%
2	No	0	0%
Mean		1.00	

Would you recommend this particular faculty for next year's conference?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Yes	4	100%
2	No	0	0%
Mean		1.00	

Why or why not?

- They will help legal and staff to ID potential federal violators.
- Very engaging.
- Panel was intricately involved in case study presented providing for robust discussion.

What in particular about this session would you like us to know about and why?

- Very well presented and informative I did not know about this case.
- Great, informative & inspiring.
- Prepared & thorough.



National
Legal Aid &
Defender
Association

2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016

SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

What are your overall impressions about the faculty at this particular session?

- Excellent!
- The right speakers for this topic!
- Helpful to civil rights practitioners, learned how to bring a similar case.