

**Fair Lending and Community Reinvestment: Using Data for Economic Justice Advocacy**

**SPEAKERS: Elisabeth Risch, Jeff Williams, Catherine Crosby, Matthew Currie**

**Session/Speaker Evaluation**

**The session content was consistent with description in the agenda**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	1	17%
5	Strongly Agree	5	83%
Mean		<b>4.83</b>	

**The session information will help me be more effective in my position.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	1	17%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	2	33%
5	Strongly Agree	3	50%
Mean		<b>4.17</b>	

**I can use the information I learned right away.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	1	17%
3	3	2	33%
4	4	1	17%
5	Strongly Agree	2	33%
Mean		<b>3.67</b>	

**Overall, the speakers for this session were knowledgeable.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	17%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	5	83%
Mean		<b>4.67</b>	

**Overall, the speakers for this session were engaging.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	17%
4	4	1	17%
5	Strongly Agree	4	67%
Mean		<b>4.50</b>	

**The session met or exceeded my expectation.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	17%
4	4	1	17%
5	Strongly Agree	4	67%
Mean		<b>4.50</b>	

**The topics covered were relevant, interesting and timely.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	17%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	5	83%
Mean		<b>4.67</b>	

**The session was interactive with significant audience participation.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	3	60%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	2	40%
Mean		<b>3.80</b>	

**The handouts and materials were useful.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	20%
4	4	1	20%
5	Strongly Agree	3	60%
Mean		<b>4.40</b>	

**Audio-visual aids were used effectively.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	2	33%
4	4	1	17%
5	Strongly Agree	3	50%
Mean		<b>4.17</b>	

**Approximately how many participants attended this session?**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	0 to 10	0	0%
2	11 to 25	4	100%
3	26 to 40	0	0%
4	41 to 60	0	0%
5	61 to 75	0	0%
6	76 or more	0	0%
Mean		<b>2.00</b>	

**Would you recommend this session for next year's conference agenda?**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Yes	5	100%
2	No	0	0%
Mean		<b>1.00</b>	

**Would you recommend this particular faculty for next year's conference?**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Yes	4	100%
2	No	0	0%
Mean		<b>1.00</b>	

**Why or why not?**

- Actual do-er's. The NCRC speaker talked too fast but obviously someone I would want to consult.
- Not sure. Yes to Catherine & Jeff.

**What in particular about this session would you like us to know about and why?**

- Nice mix of actual experience.
- Great examples. Ideas of concrete steps to take in our community.
- Excellent.



National  
Legal Aid &  
Defender  
Association

## 2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016

### SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

#### What are your overall impressions about the faculty at this particular session?

- Excellent but deep for me.
- Well prepared and articulate - care about work they do enjoyed hearing from the city staff person - gave additional insight.
- All excellent.
- Ok. Catherine and Jeff were knowledgeable and effective.