

2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

How Public Defenders Can Help Reform America's Bail System

SPEAKERS: Sergio De La Pava, Joshua Norkin, Insha Rahman, Ezra Ritchin, Sharlyn Grace, Corey Stoughton, Alexander Shalom, Peter Chapman

Session/Speaker Evaluation

The session content was consistent with description in the agenda

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2 2	0	0%
3 3	0	0%
4 4	0	0%
5 Strongly Agree	1	100%
Mean	5.00	

The session information will help me be more effective in my position.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	1	100%
	Mean	5.00	

I can use the information I learned right away.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	1	100%
	Mean	5.00	

Overall, the speakers for this session were knowledgeable.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2 2	0	0%
3		0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	1	100%
	Mean	5.00	



2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Overall, the speakers for this session were engaging.

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2 2	0	0%
3 3	0	0%
4 4	0	0%
5 Strongly Agree	1	100%
Mean	5.00	

The session met or exceeded my expectation.

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2 2	0	0%
3 3	0	0%
4 4	0	0%
5 Strongly Agree	1	100%
Mean	5.00	

The topics covered were relevant, interesting and timely.

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2 2	0	0%
3 3	0	0%
4 4	0	0%
5 Strongly Agree	1	100%
Mean	5.00	

The session was interactive with significant audience participation.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	1	100%
	Mean	5.00	

The handouts and materials were useful.

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2 2	0	0%
3 3	0	0%
4 4	0	0%
5 Strongly Agree	0	0%
Mean	0.00	



2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Audio-visual aids were used effectively.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	0	0%
	Mean	0.00	

Approximately how many participants attended this session?

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	0 to 10	0	0%
2	11 to 25	1	100%
3	26 to 40	0	0%
4	41 to 60	0	0%
5	61 to 75	0	0%
6	76 or more	0	0%
	Mean	2.00	

Would you recommend this session for next year's conference agenda?

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Yes	1	100%
2	No	0	0%
	Mean	1.00	

Would you recommend this particular faculty for next year's conference?

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Yes	1	100%
2 No	0	0%
Mean	1.00	

Why or why not?

• See above.

What in particular about this session would you like us to know about and why?

• Wonderful - could have used an extra session.

What are your overall impressions about the faculty at this particular session?

• Great - could split into 2 panels even.