



Legal Aid Leaders for Tomorrow

SPEAKERS: Jim Sandman, Ellen Hemley, Shervon Small, Sergio Jimenez

Session/Speaker Evaluation

The session content was consistent with description in the agenda

| Choices | | Count | Percent |
|---------|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0% |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 4 | 2 | 25% |
| 5 | Strongly Agree | 6 | 75% |
| Mean | | 4.75 | |

The session information will help me be more effective in my position.

| Choices | | Count | Percent |
|---------|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0% |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 13% |
| 4 | 4 | 0 | 0% |
| 5 | Strongly Agree | 7 | 88% |
| Mean | | 4.75 | |

I can use the information I learned right away.

| Choices | | Count | Percent |
|---------|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0% |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 3 | 2 | 25% |
| 4 | 4 | 0 | 0% |
| 5 | Strongly Agree | 6 | 75% |
| Mean | | 4.50 | |

Overall, the speakers for this session were knowledgeable.

| Choices | | Count | Percent |
|---------|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0% |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 4 | 0 | 0% |
| 5 | Strongly Agree | 8 | 100% |
| Mean | | 5.00 | |



2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016

SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Overall, the speakers for this session were engaging.

| Choices | | Count | Percent |
|---------|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0% |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 4 | 1 | 13% |
| 5 | Strongly Agree | 7 | 88% |
| Mean | | 4.88 | |

The session met or exceeded my expectation.

| Choices | | Count | Percent |
|---------|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0% |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 13% |
| 4 | 4 | 2 | 25% |
| 5 | Strongly Agree | 5 | 63% |
| Mean | | 4.50 | |

The topics covered were relevant, interesting and timely.

| Choices | | Count | Percent |
|---------|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0% |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 4 | 2 | 29% |
| 5 | Strongly Agree | 5 | 71% |
| Mean | | 4.71 | |

The session was interactive with significant audience participation.

| Choices | | Count | Percent |
|---------|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0% |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 13% |
| 4 | 4 | 1 | 13% |
| 5 | Strongly Agree | 6 | 75% |
| Mean | | 4.63 | |

The handouts and materials were useful.

| Choices | | Count | Percent |
|---------|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree | 1 | 13% |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 3 | 2 | 25% |
| 4 | 4 | 1 | 13% |
| 5 | Strongly Agree | 4 | 50% |
| Mean | | 3.88 | |

Audio-visual aids were used effectively.

| Choices | | Count | Percent |
|---------|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree | 1 | 13% |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 13% |
| 4 | 4 | 1 | 13% |
| 5 | Strongly Agree | 5 | 63% |
| Mean | | 4.13 | |

Approximately how many participants attended this session?

| Choices | | Count | Percent |
|---------|------------|-------------|---------|
| 1 | 0 to 10 | 0 | 0% |
| 2 | 11 to 25 | 1 | 13% |
| 3 | 26 to 40 | 6 | 75% |
| 4 | 41 to 60 | 1 | 13% |
| 5 | 61 to 75 | 0 | 0% |
| 6 | 76 or more | 0 | 0% |
| Mean | | 3.00 | |

Would you recommend this session for next year's conference agenda?

| Choices | | Count | Percent |
|---------|-----|-------------|---------|
| 1 | Yes | 6 | 100% |
| 2 | No | 0 | 0% |
| Mean | | 1.00 | |

Would you recommend this particular faculty for next year's conference?

| Choices | | Count | Percent |
|---------|-----|-------------|---------|
| 1 | Yes | 6 | 100% |
| 2 | No | 0 | 0% |
| Mean | | 1.00 | |

Why or why not?

- Because the leadership knowledge is very informative & the panelist was great. I would like & appreciate if they could visit the client track - a must.
- Very engaging. Enjoyed the large group discussions more. Was able to hear from everyone which helped the learning.



2016 Annual Conference Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

What in particular about this session would you like us to know about and why?

- Speakers were from lowest to highest position.
- Good to see Jim in this role.
- A part 2.
- Good.
- The stories told were amazing and inspiring.
- Liked the leadership chart in beginning.
- I wish it offered more concrete ideas about leadership styles, how to recognize leaders, had to bring of their qualities.

What are your overall impressions about the faculty at this particular session?

- Very wise!
- Participation.
- Great, loved leadership stories.
- Great knowledge.
- Good.
- The faculty members were passionate and engaged.
- Reflective & well thought-out.