

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Narrative Advocacy: Creating Empathy through Storytelling

SPEAKERS: Mary Adkins, Julie Polk, Christine Clarke

Session/Speaker Evaluation

The session content was consistent with description in the agenda

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	1	9%
2 2	1	9%
3 3	1	9%
4 4	1	9%
5 Strongly Agree	7	64%
Mean	4.09	

The session information will help me be more effective in my position.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	1	9%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	2	18%
4	4	3	27%
5	Strongly Agree	5	45%
	Mean	4.00	

I can use the information I learned right away.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	1	9%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	2	18%
4	4	2	18%
5	Strongly Agree	6	55%
	Mean	4.09	

Overall, the speakers for this session were knowledgeable.

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	1	9%
2 2	1	9%
3 3	1	9%
4 4	2	18%
5 Strongly Agree	6	55%
Mean	4.00	



Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Overall, the speakers for this session were engaging.

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	3	27%
2 2	0	0%
3 3	0	0%
4 4	2	18%
5 Strongly Agree	6	55%
Mean	3.73	

The session met or exceeded my expectation.

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	1	9%
2 2	1	9%
3 3	1	9%
4 4	2	18%
5 Strongly Agree	6	55%
Mean	4.00	

The topics covered were relevant, interesting and timely.

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	1	9%
2 2	1	9%
3 3	1	9%
4 4	1	9%
5 Strongly Agree	7	64%
Mean	4.09	

The session was interactive with significant audience participation.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	2	18%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	9%
4	4	1	9%
5	Strongly Agree	7	64%
	Mean	4.00	

The handouts and materials were useful.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	1	9%
3	3	5	45%
4	4	1	9%
5	Strongly Agree	4	36%
	Mean	3.73	



Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Audio-visual aids were used effectively.

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	1	9%
2 2	2	18%
3 3	1	9%
4 4	1	9%
5 Strongly Agree	6	55%
Mean	3.82	

Approximately how many participants attended this session?

Choices	Count	Percent
1 0 to 10	0	0%
2 11 to 25	1	11%
3 26 to 40	5	56%
4 41 to 60	3	33%
5 61 to 75	0	0%
6 76 or more	0	0%
Mean	3.22	

Would you recommend this session for next year's conference agenda?

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Yes	8	100%
2	No	0	0%
	Mean	1.00	

Would you recommend this particular faculty for next year's conference?

	Choices	Count	Percent
	1 Yes	8	89%
Γ	2 No	1	11%
ſ	Mean	1.11	

Why or why not?

- It was particularly effective to have group exercises.
- We need handouts.
- Loved it.

What in particular about this session would you like us to know about and why?

- · Good thinking.
- The exercises were very helpful to gain a better understanding.
- It was particularly effective to have group exercises.
- Very knowledgeable.
- Need a bigger room very popular!
- Very concrete framework & tips made applicable to our real life work.



Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

What are your overall impressions about the faculty at this particular session?

- Very good presenters. Kept audience engaged.
- Excellent (it would be good to share some written materials they seemed to be proprietary).
- Very engaging!