

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Real and Meaningful Engagement: How to Successfully Involve Clients at All Levels of Your Organization

SPEAKERS: Julie Reiskin

Session/Speaker Evaluation

The session content was consistent with description in the agenda

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2 2	0	0%
3 3	0	0%
4 4	5	26%
5 Strongly Agree	14	74%
Mean	4.74	

The session information will help me be more effective in my position.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	5%
4	4	8	42%
5	Strongly Agree	10	53%
	Mean	4.47	

I can use the information I learned right away.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	4	22%
4	4	5	28%
5	Strongly Agree	9	50%
	Mean	4.28	

Overall, the speakers for this session were knowledgeable.

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2 2	0	0%
3 3	0	0%
4 4	6	33%
5 Strongly Agree	12	67%
Mean	4.67	



Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Overall, the speakers for this session were engaging.

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2 2	0	0%
3 3	1	5%
4 4	5	26%
5 Strongly Agree	13	68%
Mean	4.63	

The session met or exceeded my expectation.

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2 2	0	0%
3 3	1	5%
4 4	6	32%
5 Strongly Agree	12	63%
Mean	4.58	

The topics covered were relevant, interesting and timely.

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2 2	0	0%
3 3	0	0%
4 4	5	28%
5 Strongly Agree	13	72%
Mean	4.72	

The session was interactive with significant audience participation.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	7	39%
5	Strongly Agree	11	61%
	Mean	4.61	

The handouts and materials were useful.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	7%
4	4	5	33%
5	Strongly Agree	9	60%
	Mean	4.53	



Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Audio-visual aids were used effectively.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	6%
4	4	6	38%
5	Strongly Agree	9	56%
	Mean	4.50	

Approximately how many participants attended this session?

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	0 to 10	1	6%
2	11 to 25	7	44%
3	26 to 40	8	50%
4	41 to 60	0	0%
5	61 to 75	0	0%
6	76 or more	0	0%
	Mean	2.44	

Would you recommend this session for next year's conference agenda?

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Yes	15	100%
2	No	0	0%
	Mean	1.00	

Would you recommend this particular faculty for next year's conference?

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Yes	14	100%
2 No	0	0%
Mean	1.00	

Why or why not?

- Presenter was quite knowledgeable.
- Yes.
- They knew their stuff and engaged the audience.
- Yes, Julie Redskins does great work. I enjoyed learning and sharing knowledge.
- Very informative.
- Very informative on ways to start an advisory board.
- Maybe not next year but sometime in the future, easy to find everything.
- Great panel.



Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

What in particular about this session would you like us to know about and why?

- Active audience participation.
- It was good information all around. Informal but comprehensive.
- The Puerto Rican culture.
- I enjoyed participating, receiving information and engaging with others.
- The dialogue that took place among all participants and faculty.
- Need more attorney board, ED's to be in this discussion (I am attorney board member).
- Organization client councils.
- Very informative & timely.
- Client who told us about her experience, Amber, was heart-rending!

What are your overall impressions about the faculty at this particular session?

- Good anecdotal information, learned what others are doing in their states.
- Very knowledgeable.
- Open and knowledgeable. In structure, in helpful ways.
- Wonderful. Full of information, especially on Puerto Rico.
- Knowledgeable.
- They were very knowledgeable.
- Good resources.
- They let anyone get involved.
- Very knowledge in the area of why a client adversary board should be implemented and start for each program.
- Excellent.
- Great & knowledgeable.
- Competent.