

Seeding the Field: Training and Developing Public Interest Leaders

SPEAKERS: Sterling Morriss, Kimberly Irish, Tina Luongo, Diane Chin

Session/Speaker Evaluation

The session content was consistent with description in the agenda

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	1	20%
3	3	1	20%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	3	60%
Mean		4.00	

The session information will help me be more effective in my position.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	1	20%
3	3	1	20%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	3	60%
Mean		4.00	

I can use the information I learned right away.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	1	20%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	1	20%
5	Strongly Agree	3	60%
Mean		4.20	

Overall, the speakers for this session were knowledgeable.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	1	20%
5	Strongly Agree	4	80%
Mean		4.80	

Overall, the speakers for this session were engaging.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	1	20%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	4	80%
Mean		4.40	

The session met or exceeded my expectation.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	1	20%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	20%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	3	60%
Mean		3.80	

The topics covered were relevant, interesting and timely.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	2	40%
5	Strongly Agree	3	60%
Mean		4.60	

The session was interactive with significant audience participation.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	2	40%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	20%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	2	40%
Mean		3.00	

The handouts and materials were useful.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	1	20%
3	3	1	20%
4	4	1	20%
5	Strongly Agree	2	40%
Mean		3.80	

Audio-visual aids were used effectively.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	1	20%
3	3	1	20%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	3	60%
Mean		4.00	

Approximately how many participants attended this session?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	0 to 10	0	0%
2	11 to 25	4	80%
3	26 to 40	1	20%
4	41 to 60	0	0%
5	61 to 75	0	0%
6	76 or more	0	0%
Mean		2.20	

Would you recommend this session for next year's conference agenda?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Yes	3	75%
2	No	1	25%
Mean		1.25	

Would you recommend this particular faculty for next year's conference?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Yes	5	100%
2	No	0	0%
Mean		1.00	

Why or why not?

- Topic is great, presenters are informed, content and method of instruction need work.
- Absolutely! Content was comprehensive and immediately useful. Presenters were knowledgeable. Flow of presentation well-managed.

What in particular about this session would you like us to know about and why?

- Excellent - strong, commitment to developing leadership and wonderful presentation of the message.
- Statistics about who were in fellowship classes was not useful.
- There was a discussion on recruitment of diverse legal staff which I found valuable but this wasn't in the description.
- The handout on resources was helpful.



National
Legal Aid &
Defender
Association

2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016

SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

What are your overall impressions about the faculty at this particular session?

- Tina's remarks seemed least helpful in telling me how to train and develop leaders.
- Knowledgeable. Engaging.
- Excellent! Content and presenters were on point. This was the best session of all I attended.