



Technology Innovations in Disaster Preparedness and Response

SPEAKERS: Shirley Peng, Lisa Gavin, Kris Reinertson, Josh Gaul, John Eidleman

Session/Speaker Evaluation

The session content was consistent with description in the agenda

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	1	33%
5	Strongly Agree	2	67%
Mean		4.67	

The session information will help me be more effective in my position.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	50%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	1	50%
Mean		4.00	

I can use the information I learned right away.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	1	50%
5	Strongly Agree	1	50%
Mean		4.50	

Overall, the speakers for this session were knowledgeable.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	3	100%
Mean		5.00	

Overall, the speakers for this session were engaging.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	33%
4	4	1	33%
5	Strongly Agree	1	33%
Mean		4.00	

The session met or exceeded my expectation.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	33%
4	4	1	33%
5	Strongly Agree	1	33%
Mean		4.00	

The topics covered were relevant, interesting and timely.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	3	100%
Mean		5.00	

The session was interactive with significant audience participation.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	2	67%
5	Strongly Agree	1	33%
Mean		4.33	

The handouts and materials were useful.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	1	50%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	1	50%
Mean		3.50	

Audio-visual aids were used effectively.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	1	33%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	33%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	1	33%
Mean		3.00	

Approximately how many participants attended this session?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	0 to 10	0	0%
2	11 to 25	2	67%
3	26 to 40	1	33%
4	41 to 60	0	0%
5	61 to 75	0	0%
6	76 or more	0	0%
Mean		2.33	

Would you recommend this session for next year's conference agenda?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Yes	3	100%
2	No	0	0%
Mean		1.00	

Would you recommend this particular faculty for next year's conference?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Yes	1	100%
2	No	0	0%
Mean		1.00	

Why or why not?

- See above comments.
- Marty, Josh, Lisa, very good. Kris not good speaker. Shirley not focused. Lots of good information - need to better think how to make visuals work.
- Yes, I would like update.

What in particular about this session would you like us to know about and why?

- It was nuts & bolts about what was done.
- Speaker of Iowa app should probably not be the speaker - looks like he created it, but not good speaker. Nice class though.
- Really good ideas & info, but suggest re-thinking presentations. Tech is the weeds without always showing how works.



National
Legal Aid &
Defender
Association

2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016

SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

What are your overall impressions about the faculty at this particular session?

- Uneven. Some not very good presenters. Use of visuals uneven.
- Great.
- Each had informative contribution.