

**The Forgotten Collateral Consequence: Criminal Defendants in the Child Welfare System**

**SPEAKERS: Wendell Cruz, Eleanor L. Wilkinson**

**Session/Speaker Evaluation**

**The session content was consistent with description in the agenda**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	1	17%
5	Strongly Agree	5	83%
Mean		<b>4.83</b>	

**The session information will help me be more effective in my position.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	1	17%
4	4	1	17%
5	Strongly Agree	4	67%
Mean		<b>4.50</b>	

**I can use the information I learned right away.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	2	33%
5	Strongly Agree	4	67%
Mean		<b>4.67</b>	

**Overall, the speakers for this session were knowledgeable.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	1	17%
5	Strongly Agree	5	83%
Mean		<b>4.83</b>	

**Overall, the speakers for this session were engaging.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	1	17%
5	Strongly Agree	5	83%
Mean		<b>4.83</b>	

**The session met or exceeded my expectation.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	2	33%
5	Strongly Agree	4	67%
Mean		<b>4.67</b>	

**The topics covered were relevant, interesting and timely.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	6	100%
Mean		<b>5.00</b>	

**The session was interactive with significant audience participation.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	6	100%
Mean		<b>5.00</b>	

**The handouts and materials were useful.**

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	3	50%
5	Strongly Agree	3	50%
Mean		<b>4.50</b>	



# 2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016

## SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

### Audio-visual aids were used effectively.

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	2	33%
5	Strongly Agree	4	67%
Mean		<b>4.67</b>	

### Approximately how many participants attended this session?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	0 to 10	1	25%
2	11 to 25	2	50%
3	26 to 40	1	25%
4	41 to 60	0	0%
5	61 to 75	0	0%
6	76 or more	0	0%
Mean		<b>2.00</b>	

### Would you recommend this session for next year's conference agenda?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Yes	5	100%
2	No	0	0%
Mean		<b>1.00</b>	

### Would you recommend this particular faculty for next year's conference?

Choices		Count	Percent
1	Yes	4	100%
2	No	0	0%
Mean		<b>1.00</b>	

### Why or why not?

- Yes.

### What in particular about this session would you like us to know about and why?

- They gave their presentation in a complete different way. I liked it. It kept my attention.
- Good information, helpful topic to share for practice area.
- Great presentation. Good use of hypotheticals.
- Fabulous presentation style! The group engagement in case study analysis was such a helpful and impactful way to learn.



National  
Legal Aid &  
Defender  
Association

# 2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016

## SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

### What are your overall impressions about the faculty at this particular session?

- Very informative.
- Very knowledgeable, a good presenter.
- Great.
- Appreciated their application of the practical & timely knowledge gained from their personal practice.