

2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Turning Data into Intelligence: Using the Data Our Programs Produce to Improve Services and Generate More Dollars

SPEAKERS: Ken Smith, Sam Halpert and Alex Gulotta

Session/Speaker Evaluation

The session content was consistent with description in the agenda

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2 2	0	0%
3 3	0	0%
4 4	0	0%
5 Strongly Agree	1	100%
Mean	5.00	

The session information will help me be more effective in my position.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	1	100%
	Mean	5.00	

I can use the information I learned right away.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	0	0%
	Mean	0.00	

Overall, the speakers for this session were knowledgeable.

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2 2	0	0%
3 3	0	0%
4 4	0	0%
5 Strongly Agree	1	100%
Mean	5.00	



2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Overall, the speakers for this session were engaging.

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2 2	0	0%
3 3	0	0%
4 4	1	100%
5 Strongly Agree	0	0%
Mean	4.00	

The session met or exceeded my expectation.

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2 2	0	0%
3 3	0	0%
4 4	1	100%
5 Strongly Agree	0	0%
Mean	4.00	

The topics covered were relevant, interesting and timely.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	1	100%
5	Strongly Agree	0	0%
	Mean	4.00	

The session was interactive with significant audience participation.

	Choices Count		
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	0	0%
	Mean	0.00	

The handouts and materials were useful.

	Choices	Count	Percent
1	Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2	2	0	0%
3	3	0	0%
4	4	0	0%
5	Strongly Agree	0	0%
	Mean	0.00	



2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Audio-visual aids were used effectively.

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Strongly Disagree	0	0%
2 2	0	0%
3 3	0	0%
4 4	0	0%
5 Strongly Agree	0	0%
Mean	0.00	

Approximately how many participants attended this session?

Choices	Count	Percent
1 0 to 10	0	0%
2 11 to 25	0	0%
3 26 to 40	1	100%
4 41 to 60	0	0%
5 61 to 75	0	0%
6 76 or more	0	0%
Mean	3.00	

Would you recommend this session for next year's conference agenda?

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Yes	0	0%
2 No	0	0%
Mean	0.00	

Would you recommend this particular faculty for next year's conference?

Choices	Count	Percent
1 Yes	1	100%
No No	0	0%
Mean	1.00	

Why or why not?

Good to have academic staff.

What in particular about this session would you like us to know about and why?

• Emphasized use of data to make better decisions within our program.

What are your overall impressions about the faculty at this particular session?

• Intelligent use of the data that we can find.