

2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Victim, Defendant...or Both?: Expanding the Lens of Advocacy

SPEAKERS: Darryl V. Atkinson, Heather Warnken, Kathrina Peterson

Session/Speaker Evaluation

The session content was consistent with description in the agenda

| Choices | Count | Percent |
|---------------------|-------|---------|
| 1 Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0% |
| 2 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 3 | 0 | 0% |
| 4 4 | 1 | 50% |
| 5 Strongly Agree | 1 | 50% |
| Mean | 4.50 | |

The session information will help me be more effective in my position.

| Choices Count | | | |
|---------------------|------|-----|--|
| 1 Strongly Disagree | 1 | 50% | |
| 2 2 | 0 | 0% | |
| 3 3 | 0 | 0% | |
| 4 4 | 1 | 50% | |
| 5 Strongly Agree | 0 | 0% | |
| Mean | 2.50 | | |

I can use the information I learned right away.

| Choices | Count | Percent |
|---------------------|-------|---------|
| 1 Strongly Disagree | 1 | 100% |
| 2 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 3 | 0 | 0% |
| 4 4 | 0 | 0% |
| 5 Strongly Agree | 0 | 0% |
| Mean | 1.00 | |

Overall, the speakers for this session were knowledgeable.

| Choices | Count | Percent |
|---------------------|-------|---------|
| 1 Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0% |
| 2 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 3 | 0 | 0% |
| 4 4 | 0 | 0% |
| 5 Strongly Agree | 2 | 100% |
| Mean | 5.00 | |



2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Overall, the speakers for this session were engaging.

| Choices | Count | Percent |
|---------------------|-------|---------|
| 1 Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0% |
| 2 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 3 | 0 | 0% |
| 4 4 | 0 | 0% |
| 5 Strongly Agree | 2 | 100% |
| Mean | 5.00 | |

The session met or exceeded my expectation.

| Choices | Count | Percent |
|---------------------|-------|---------|
| 1 Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0% |
| 2 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 3 | 1 | 50% |
| 4 4 | 0 | 0% |
| 5 Strongly Agree | 1 | 50% |
| Mean | 4.00 | |

The topics covered were relevant, interesting and timely.

| | Choices | Count | Percent |
|---|-------------------|-------|---------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0% |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 3 | 1 | 100% |
| 4 | 4 | 0 | 0% |
| 5 | Strongly Agree | 0 | 0% |
| | Mean | 3.00 | |

The session was interactive with significant audience participation.

| | Choices | Count | Percent |
|---|-------------------|-------|---------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0% |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 4 | 1 | 50% |
| 5 | Strongly Agree | 1 | 50% |
| | Mean | 4.50 | |

The handouts and materials were useful.

| | Choices | Count | Percent |
|---|-------------------|-------|---------|
| 1 | Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0% |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | 0% |
| 4 | 4 | 0 | 0% |
| 5 | Strongly Agree | 0 | 0% |
| | Mean | 0.00 | |



2016 Annual Conference

Indianapolis, IN • November 9-12, 2016 SESSION EVALUATION RESULTS

Audio-visual aids were used effectively.

| Choices | Count | Percent |
|---------------------|-------|---------|
| 1 Strongly Disagree | 0 | 0% |
| 2 2 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 3 | 1 | 100% |
| 4 4 | 0 | 0% |
| 5 Strongly Agree | 0 | 0% |
| Mean | 3.00 | |

Approximately how many participants attended this session?

| Choices | Count | Percent |
|--------------|-------|---------|
| 1 0 to 10 | 0 | 0% |
| 2 11 to 25 | 0 | 0% |
| 3 26 to 40 | 0 | 0% |
| 4 41 to 60 | 1 | 100% |
| 5 61 to 75 | 0 | 0% |
| 6 76 or more | 0 | 0% |
| Mean | 4.00 | |

Would you recommend this session for next year's conference agenda?

| Choices | Count | Percent |
|---------|-------|---------|
| 1 Yes | 1 | 50% |
| 2 No | 1 | 50% |
| Mean | 1.50 | |

Would you recommend this particular faculty for next year's conference?

| | Choices | Count | Percent |
|------|---------|-------|---------|
| 1 | Yes | 1 | 100% |
| 2 | No | 0 | 0% |
| Mean | | 1.00 | |

Why or why not?

• The presenters are great people. I hope they and their focus will mature. Adding things like lawyers of victim services will not ameliorate the injustice and racism of things like overprotection & war on drugs. And may make them worse.

What in particular about this session would you like us to know about and why?

• Three terrific young people, though I think they're unaware of how little good can come from their work. Let alone how much harm.

What are your overall impressions about the faculty at this particular session?

Wonderful, well-intentioned, and pretty seriously myopic and naïve. I think they're giving window
dressing to unjust criminal justice practical's that should simply be abandoned. That should
be the subject of their work.